

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,764	02/27/2004	John E. Boisseau	IN-5597	1893
26922 7590 01/22/2008 BASF CORPORATION Patent Department			EXAMINER	
			MUI, CHRISTINE T	
1609 BIDDLE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MAIN BUILDING WYANDOTTE, MI 48192			1797	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LORI.HASS@BASF.COM MARJORIE.ELLIS@BASF.COM ANNE.SABOURIN@BASF.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/789,764	BOISSEAU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christine T. Mui	1797	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED on 02 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In b) 🖂 no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ____ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ___would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: _ Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. 🖂 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13.
Other: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The orientation of the substrate does not make it patentable or distinguishable from placing the substrate in a horizontal or vertical position. Even though the dimension of the holders are 70x45x3 and 70x145x3, depending on the size of the substrate itself, the holder may hold the substrate in a substantially horizonal position as claimed at an angle from the horizontal. Furthermore, Atlas in combination with Palm discloses a testing protocol using acid rain to mimic the testing cycle of an acid rain spray at a temperature difference, even though it is 9 degrees Celsius, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to expose the substrate to a larger temperature difference in non-extreme conditions, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. Combining Atlas and Palm would result in the claimed invention as Palm discloses "the equipment used for the accelerated test with acid rain simulation was a modified Atlas XR35 Weatherometer with a Xenon arc light source with the inner and outer borosilicate fibers. The original equipment was immensely modified in order to obtain fully automatic simulation of acid rain and better control of relative humidity control. Improved relative humidity control was accomplished by modigying both the hardward (fan, damper for moisture evacuatio, etc) as well as the controller software. The hardware for simulation of acid raid was obtained by constructing a system of pumps, valves, switches, containers for acid solution etc. The measurment of acid raid spraying was performed by an in-line conductivity measurement (see pages 70-71)." It can be seen in the prior art of Palm has disclosed weather tests including acid rain using the reference Atlas.